Giant Suppression of Photobleaching for Single Molecule Detection via the Purcell Effect
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ABSTRACT: We report giant suppression of photobleaching and a prolonged lifespan of single fluorescent molecules via the Purcell effect in plasmonic nanostructures. The plasmonic structures enhance the spontaneous emission of excited fluorescent molecules, reduce the probability of activating photochemical reactions that destroy the molecules, and hence suppress the bleaching. Experimentally, we observe up to a 1000-fold increase in the total number of photons that we can harvest from a single fluorescent molecule before it bleaches. This approach demonstrates the potential of using the Purcell effect to manipulate photochemical reactions at the subwavelength scale.
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Photobleaching is a photochemical reaction of fluorescent molecules that irreversibly destroys their fluorescence capability. It determines the lifespan of a single fluorescent molecule and imposes a fundamental limit on the total number of photons that can be harvested from the molecule.1,2 Considerable efforts have been devoted to suppressing photobleaching, which culminates primarily in chemical-based strategies that aim to make the local environment more chemically inert to fluorophores,3,4 or modifying the structures and energy landscapes of fluorophores to be more resistant to photobleaching.3,5 Progress has been achieved along these routes, including the discovery of oxygen scavenger systems,3 photostable green fluorescent proteins,5 and semiconductor quantum dots.7 However, the increasing demand for more photons from a single molecule1,8 requires new strategies beyond these chemical approaches.

Recent progress in plasmonics manifests a “physical” approach to address the challenge. Plasmonics9–11 offer a new way to mediate the interaction between a molecule and its local electromagnetic environment.12,13 The surface plasmon of metallic nanostructures allows for deep subwavelength confinement of light4,15 and enhancement of the local density of optical states.16,17 These structures can substantially enhance the spontaneous emission of fluorophores near the metal surface, that is, the so-called Purcell enhancement.18–20 In contrast to photonic crystals,21–24 the plasmonic Purcell enhancement is broadband. The impacts of the plasmonic Purcell enhancement on photostability have been noticed previously. For instance, Hale et al. have observed reduction of photo-oxidation of semiconducting polymer when doped with silica core-gold nanoshells,25 and Muthu et al. and Malicka et al. have observed decreasing photobleaching of fluorescence dyes on the surface of thin silver films26 and silver nanoparticles.27 Recently, the Purcell effect has been further expanded to tune the spectroscopic properties of dye molecules to selectively remove a long-lived triplet state,28 enhance the fluorescence signal,29 suppress quantum dot blinking,30 and manipulate selection rules.31 A novel core–shell type of plasmonic nanocomposite structures has also been developed as nanotainers to protect fluorescence dyes.32 In particular, plasmonic nanoantennas,33–35 a class of plasmonic structures, can couple light in and out with high efficiency and confine light field far below the diffraction limit and consequently boost the local density of optical states, providing
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an ideal interface to enhance the interactions between photons and emitters that are normally weak due to the significant size mismatch between the wavelength of light and emitters. Most of the plasmonic antennas share a similar design of radio wave antennas, yet at a smaller, nanometer length scale. These include monopole, dipole, bowtie, diabolo, and dimer antennas. The strong Purcell effect of the plasmonic nanoantennas have been shown to redirect emission direction from a single emitter and enhance spontaneous emission rate by 2 orders of magnitude. Here, we use a single-molecule approach to examine the suppression of photobleaching by the Purcell effect via plasmonic nanostructures and demonstrate that the strong Purcell effect of a dimer antenna can effectively steer a fluorophore away from photobleaching and significantly prolong the fluorophore's lifespan. Up to 1000-fold more photons have been harvested from a single fluorescent molecule, which will be complementary to the available chemical schemes and enhance the efficiency of single molecule sensing.

Figure 1a uses a two-level model to illustrate this physical approach. After absorbing one quanta of energy from a photon with a rate constant of \(k_A\), a molecule is excited to an excited state \(e\) from the ground state \(g\). Its destiny is then determined through competition between two processes. The first process is relaxation, whereby the molecule dissipates energy to emit another photon (spontaneous emission, or fluorescence, with rate \(k_f\)) or to heat (intrinsic nonradiative process with rate \(k_{NR}\)) and relaxes back to the ground state; the molecule is then ready to be excited again. The second process is photobleaching with a rate constant of \(\Gamma\), whereby the molecule breaks its chemical bonds or changes its structure permanently, thus leading to the loss of its fluorescence capability. Because the two channels—relaxation and bleaching—compete with each other, it is possible to reduce the probability of the molecule entering the bleaching channel by enhancing the relaxation process using plasmonic structures.

For a more quantitative description, we use a set of kinetics equations to model the excitation, the relaxation, and the bleaching process as follows:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} e = -(k_f + k_{NR} + \Gamma) + k_A g
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dt} g = (k_f + k_{NR})e - k_A g
\]

where \(e\) and \(g\) are probabilities of the molecule being at the excited and ground state, respectively, and \(k_A\) is the excitation rate for absorption of photons. Here we assume that the bleaching rate \(\Gamma\) is a constant, and independent of the excitation laser intensity, because in our experiment a low-power continuous laser (5–40 mW) is used to illuminate a wide field (100 × 100 \(\mu\)m²).

From eq 1 we can calculate the average lifespan of a fluorophore, that is, the time that a fluorophore continuously emits photons before it bleaches:

\[
\tau = \int_0^\infty t e(t) \, dt / \int_0^\infty e(t) \, dt = (k_f + k_{NR} + k_A + \Gamma) / \Gamma k_A
\]

(2)

We use the term “lifespan,” to distinguish it from another term, “lifetime,” which refers to the time it takes for an excited fluorophore to relax back to its ground state, defined as \(1/(k_{NR} + k_f)\). For most fluorophores, the relaxation rate \(k_{NR}\) and \(k_f\) are in the order of 1 ns⁻¹, which is much larger than the bleaching rate constant \(\Gamma\) and the excitation rate constant \(k_A\), both of which are around 1 ms⁻¹. Therefore, the average lifespan is:

\[
\tau \approx k_f / \Gamma q k_A
\]

(3)

where \(q\) is the fluorescence quantum yield of the fluorophore, defined as \(q = k_f / (k_f + k_{NR})\).

We also introduce the photobleaching limit—the total number of photons that a molecule has emitted before it photobleaches—as a metric:

\[
P = \int dt k_f e(t) = k_f / \Gamma
\]

(4)

Compared with the lifespan, \(\tau\), the photobleaching limit, \(P\), is a more suitable measure to evaluate the efficiency of photobleaching suppression. The reason for this is: First, the total number of photons is the most important parameter in many cases, such as in single-molecule fluorescence microscopy. Second, the lifespan, \(\tau\), strongly depends on the excitation intensity, \(I\). One can see from eq 3 that \(\tau\) is inversely proportional to the excitation rate, \(k_{NR}\), which is proportional to the excitation intensity, \(I\). Therefore, although further exciting a fluorophore by increasing the excitation powers can make the fluorophore brighter, it also reduces the lifespan of the fluorophore. In contrast, the photobleaching limit of a molecule is a product of the lifespan and fluorescence intensity, which remains a constant over its entire lifespan, as determined by eq 4. The above kinetics equations provide a simplified model to understand the connection between bleaching and the Purcell effect, the two seemingly unrelated phenomena.

To confirm that the photobleaching limit is an excitation-independent metric, we performed a control experiment, as is shown in Figure 1b. A prism-type total-internal-reflection microscope (TIRF) is used in the experiments. The excitation source is a 644 nm (Coherent Inc. Cube 40 mW) laser. The saturation of adsorption typically occurs when there are more than one photon interacts with a molecule within the lifetime of the molecule, which is in the nanosecond range. In the experiment we used a lower-power CW laser (5–40 mW) and
a wide field TIRF excitation (100 × 100 μm²), rather than a focused excitation; therefore, the photon density is much lower than necessary to reach the saturation. After flowing a solution of 1 nM chromeo642 dyes (Active Motif) into a quartz chamber, the dyes adsorb into the quartz surface. Immediately after the laser is turned on, the dyes start to bleach, and the total fluorescence intensity in the field of view decreases. Fitting the decay curves to a single exponential function yields the lif espans, τ. The lifespan is then plotted against the intensity of the excitation laser, I, where 1/τ dependence of the lifespan is evident. Therefore, the total number of photons, which equals the product of the lifespan and the fluorescence intensity of the fluorophore, remains a constant of k/τ. The time resolution of the experiments is 50 ms, limited by the frame rate of the camera. This contributes to the deviation of the measured results from the theoretical curve at higher excitation power in the figure.

Since the photobleaching limit, k/τ, is determined by the competition between spontaneous emission, k, and photobleaching, τ, both suppressing the bleaching rate, τ, and enhancing the emission rate, k, via the Purcell effect can improve the photobleaching limit equally well. While chemical strategies have focused on reducing the bleaching rate, τ, by modifying the molecular structures or chemical environment, this study demonstrates the giant suppression of photobleaching using a physical approach by enhancing spontaneous emission. Light–matter interaction can be substantially enhanced in engineered metallic nanostructures. As a result, high Purcell factors have been observed in a number of plasmonic systems that significantly increase spontaneous emission.16,22,23 Denoting the increase of spontaneous emission as Purcell factor F_p, the spontaneous emission rate increases to F_p/k. Spontaneous emission enhancement gives rise to both enhanced radiation and enhanced nonradiation (energy dissipation due to Ohmic losses). The enhancement of photostability of fluorophore by a plasmonic structure does not translate directly to the increase of total number of photons that can be harvested at far field, because the plasmonic structures introduce Ohmic loss as well, whereby energy transferred from the molecule is lost as heat. The amount of energy dissipation in the metal is deduced from numerical simulations, based on a semiclassical model (see Figure 4 and Supporting Information).21,23 We introduce an efficiency factor η to model this effect, which characterize the portion of energy radiates to far field compared to the total energy transferred from the molecule. Then the total number of photons that one can harvest from a single fluorophore becomes F_pηk/τ. Therefore, we will observe F_pη-fold improvement beyond the photobleaching limit P (eq 4).

We used a self-assembly approach43 to produce plasmonic nanostructures with liner features17,22,24–26 that are critical to the high efficiency factor. A drop of gold nanoparticles (100 nm in diameter) in a water solution is deposited on the surface of a quartz slide. Upon drying, the nanoparticles aggregate and form a variety of clusters including di-, tri-, and multimers. Some of the clusters form structures with tight field confinement, a strong Purcell effect, and high efficiency factors that function as optical antennas. The distribution of the structures contributes to spreading the efficiency of the photobleaching suppression, which will be discussed later. Next, we mount the quartz slide on a total internal reflection (TIRF) microscope and flow in a chromeo-642 dye solution. The dye molecules adsorb onto the surface of the nanoparticles, and the fluorescence decays immediately after the excitation begins due to the photobleaching. When we compare the excited dyes at the same excitation power, but on a quartz surface, the bleaching is much slower (Figure 2b). The average lifespan of dyes from 24 nanostructures is 2.1 s (Figure 2c), which demonstrates an improvement of 5 times. However, because the local field in these nanostructures is much stronger than the excitation field due to the surface plasmon enhancement14,23—the lifespan of a dye is inversely proportional to the local field (Figure 1b)—the photobleaching suppression is actually much larger than 5 times.

To better measure the suppression of the photobleaching, we use a single molecule method to measure the total number of photons that a single fluorophore emits.14 Briefly, the sample is submerged in a solution of chromeo-642 dyes, which randomly adsorb onto the surface of the nanostructures. Because the dye molecules diffuse much faster than the exposure time of a camera, the diffusing fluorophore yields a constant background. Once a single molecule adsorbs, a bright spot appears until the dye bleaches. The adsorbing–bleaching cycle appears as an intermittent pattern, which is a collection of single molecular events. The duration of each event corresponds to the lifespan of each molecule. Figure 3a and b present two typical single molecule events. In Figure 3a, one molecule continuously emits photons over 20 s, while the average lifespan of the same fluorophore is 0.4 s on the surface of a quartz substrate under the same excitation intensity of 5 mW (Figure 1b).

Figure 3c presents the giant suppression of photobleaching, which records each event as a data point on a log–log plot that correlates the lifespan of a molecule with its fluorescence intensity. The product of intensity (y-coordinate) and lifespan (x-coordinate) yields the total number of photons that a fluorophore has emitted during its lifespan. For the purpose of comparison, we conducted a control experiment on a bare quartz surface, and presented the data as black dots. A black dash line with slope −1 is drawn as a reference for the photobleaching limit. To help visualize the orders of magnitude of the suppression of photobleaching, we plot the multiple over the photobleaching limit as a series of black dashed lines marked with 1, 10, 100, and 1000.
The red dots in Figure 3c are all the events collected from one dimer structure and the colocalization images of scanning electron microscopy and fluorescence microscopy, as shown in Figure 3d and e. The red dots located primarily to the right of and above the photobleaching limit line indicate that these molecules have emitted more photons than the photobleaching limit. The green dots in Figure 3c represent single molecule events observed on the surface of various nanoparticle structures, which may include dimer, trimer, or multimers as a result of self-assembly. Most of the data points show 10- to 100-fold improvement, and the highest bleaching suppression factor reaches more than 1000-fold improvement. Some dye molecules may diffuse from the surface of gold nanoparticles before it photobleaches, leading to underestimation of the enhancement of the photo output. To further confirm our result, we conducted an experiment with thiol-tagged fluorescence oligo (Supporting Information). The thiol group has a strong affinity to the gold surface. We have observed very similar enhancement of the photon output (Figure S6).

The random adsorption of each molecule is a main factor that contributes to the broad distribution of the improvement, which corresponds to the spreading of the events plotted in Figure 3c, because each molecule experiences a different local density of state. We examine this factor qualitatively with a numerical simulation of a dimer structure consisting of two 100-nm gold spheres separated by 5 nm. When a fluorophore adsorbs at the center of the gap, its radiation pattern matches the plasmonic mode of the structure, and the fluorophore experiences the strongest Purcell effect of $F_p \approx 4200$ (red dashed line, Figure 4a), the highest radiation efficiency of $\eta \approx 0.66$ (black line, Figure 4a), and the largest local field enhancement factor, $f = |E_{LOC}|^2/|E_0|^2 \approx 1800$ (blue line, Figure 4a). Furthermore, photobleaching reaches its highest suppression at $F_p \eta \approx 2700$ (blue dashed line, Figure 4b). When a molecule adsorbs at sites away from the center of the dimer, the structure operates less efficiently, and we see a quick decrease in the Purcell factor, $F_p$, the local field enhancement factor, $f$, and the efficiency of photobleaching suppression, $F_p \eta$. However, the lifespan of a fluorophore adjacent to the plasmonic structure exhibits a more complex behavior. An enhanced local field makes the fluorophore bleach faster, and a large Purcell factor, $F_p$, makes the fluorophore bleach slower. Because the field enhancement, $f$, is the strongest at the center of the dimer, the corresponding lifespan of the dye increases by a factor of $F_p/f$ (approximately 1.28 times), while the total number of photons increases by 2700 times. Other factors, such as the size of the gap and the orientation of a fluorophore, are also critical. Discussions on these factors can be found in the Supporting Information.
Supporting Information. Overall, the results from the numerical simulation of the enhancement of the photobleaching limit and lifespan are in agreement with the experiment (Figure 3). It is important to note that this is a general approach that results in significant improvement that complement the conventional chemical approaches. Additional single-molecule experiments with a different dye, chromo-m546, and a fluorescent protein, mCherry, yield similar results (Supporting Information).

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the suppression of photobleaching by up to 3 orders of magnitude through a physical approach based on the strong Purcell effect of plasmonic nanostructures. This dramatic enhancement, which has been difficult to achieve using chemical techniques alone, will significantly extend the lifespan of fluorophore and improve single-molecule imaging for biology. Furthermore, it suggests that nanophotonic structure can steer chemical reactions toward a desired direction.
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